



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa)

# A perturbation result for q-open quotient morphisms in normed spaces and applications to linear relations

Dana Gheorghe

University of Pitești, Department of Mathematics, Str. Targul din Vale, Nr. 1, 110040 Pitești, Romania

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 6 May 2008

Available online 9 January 2009

Submitted by M. Putinar

## Keywords:

Quotient morphism

Topological index

Linear relation

## ABSTRACT

Using some techniques of perturbation theory for quotient morphisms between Banach spaces we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of the topological index of a q-open quotient morphism under small (with respect to the gap topology) perturbations with quotient morphisms. As an application we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of the topological index of an open linear relation with closed multivalued part between normed spaces under small perturbations with linear relations.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In [2] (see also [1,7]) the authors have obtained the stability of the index of a quotient morphism between Banach spaces under small perturbations (with respect to the gap topology [14]). Their result generalizes some pioneering work due to Kato [14, Chapter IV, Sections 4 and 5]. For more on the theory of quotient morphisms we refer to [15–17]. The aim of this paper is to study (using Albrecht–Vasilescu result, see Theorem 3) the behavior of the topological index of a quotient morphism between normed spaces under small perturbations (Theorem 1).

As an application we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of the topological index of an open linear relation (multivalued operator) with closed multivalued part between normed spaces under small perturbations with linear relations (Theorem 2). In connection with our results on linear relations we refer to [3–5,10].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we introduce some notation, definitions and state our main result and its application to linear relations. In Section 2 we describe our main tool as well as some duality results which will be very useful in the sequel. In Sections 3 and 4 we prove the results stated in Section 1 and obtain several interesting consequences.

### 1.1. Basic definitions and notation

Let  $X, Y$  be two normed spaces and  $X', Y'$  be their topological dual. We denote by  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  the normed space of continuous linear operators acting from  $X$  into  $Y$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  then  $T' \in \mathcal{B}(Y', X')$  denotes the adjoint of  $T$ . The operator  $T$  is called *open* if whenever  $U$  is a neighbourhood in  $X$ , the image  $T(U)$  is a neighbourhood in  $R(T)$ . Note that  $T$  is open iff there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\rho B_Y \cap R(T) \subset T(B_X)$ , where  $B_X$  and  $B_Y$  denote the closed unit balls of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively, or equivalently iff there exists  $k > 0$  such that for any  $y \in R(T)$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $Tx = y$  and  $\|x\| \leq k\|y\|$ .

Consider now  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  two normed spaces. A *quotient morphism* (or simply a q-morphism) of  $\mathcal{X}$  into  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a linear mapping  $T : X/X_0 \rightarrow Y/Y_0$  where  $X_0 \subset X \subset \mathcal{X}$  and  $Y_0 \subset Y \subset \mathcal{Y}$  are linear subspaces of  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  respectively. The *range* of the q-morphism  $T$  is denoted by  $R(T)$  and the *kernel* of  $T$  is denoted by  $N(T)$ . In [1] the authors have introduced two important notions associated to  $T$ , namely the *lifted graph* of  $T$  denoted  $G_0(T)$  and defined by

E-mail address: [gheorghedana@yahoo.com](mailto:gheorghedana@yahoo.com).

$$G_0(T) := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y; T(x + X_0) = y + Y_0\}$$

and the *lifted range* of  $T$  denoted  $R_0(T)$  and defined by

$$R_0(T) := \{y \in Y; \exists x \in X: T(x + X_0) = y + Y_0\}.$$

Throughout this paper, for a  $q$ -morphism  $T : X/X_0 \rightarrow Y/Y_0$ , the subspace  $Y_0$  will be considered closed in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Therefore the space  $Y/Y_0$  will be a normed space. On the other hand the closure of  $X_0$  will be taken in  $\mathcal{X}$ , the closure of  $Y$  will be taken in  $\mathcal{Y}$  and the closure of  $G_0(T)$  will be taken in  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ . Finally, the closure of  $R(T)$  will be taken in  $Y/Y_0$ .

If  $T$  is a  $q$ -morphism which satisfies

$$\dim(N(T)) < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(T)}) < \infty,$$

then we define the *topological index* of  $T$  by

$$\overline{\text{ind}}(T) = \dim(N(T)) - \text{codim}(\overline{R(T)}).$$

We say that the  $q$ -morphism  $T : X/X_0 \rightarrow Y/Y_0$  is *q-open* if the linear operator

$$\phi_T : X \rightarrow Y/Y_0, \quad \phi_T(x) = T(x + X_0)$$

is open as an operator from the normed space  $X$  into the normed space  $Y/Y_0$ . According to [7, Definition I.6.6] (see also [14]), if  $M$  and  $N$  are closed subspaces of a normed space  $X$ , then the *gap* between  $M$  and  $N$  is defined by

$$\widehat{\delta}(M, N) = \max\{\delta(M, N), \delta(N, M)\},$$

where

$$\delta(M, N) = \sup_{x \in M, \|x\| \leq 1} \inf_{y \in N} \|x - y\|.$$

## 1.2. Main results

Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be normed spaces,  $X, \widetilde{X}, X_0$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $X_0 \subset X \cap \widetilde{X}$ ,  $Y, \widetilde{Y}$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $Y_0, \widetilde{Y}_0$  be closed subspaces of  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that  $Y_0 \subset Y, \widetilde{Y}_0 \subset \widetilde{Y}$ . Our main result goes as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $T : X/X_0 \rightarrow Y/Y_0$  be  $q$ -morphism which is  $q$ -open and satisfies  $\overline{X_0} \times Y_0 \subset G_0(T)$ ,

$$\dim(N(T)) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(T)}) < \infty.$$

Then there exists  $\epsilon_T > 0$  such that for every  $q$ -morphism  $\widetilde{T} : \widetilde{X}/\widetilde{X}_0 \rightarrow \widetilde{Y}/\widetilde{Y}_0$  with  $\overline{X_0} \times \widetilde{Y}_0 \subset G_0(\widetilde{T})$ ,

$$\widehat{\delta}(\overline{G_0(T)}, \overline{G_0(\widetilde{T})}) < \epsilon_T, \quad \widehat{\delta}(Y_0, \widetilde{Y}_0) < \epsilon_T \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\delta}(\widetilde{Y}, \overline{Y}) < \epsilon_T$$

one has that

$$\dim(N(\widetilde{T})) \leq \dim(N(T)), \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(\widetilde{T})}) \leq \text{codim}(\overline{R(T)})$$

and

$$\overline{\text{ind}}(\widetilde{T}) \leq \overline{\text{ind}}(T).$$

Moreover,  $\overline{\text{ind}}(\widetilde{T}) = \overline{\text{ind}}(T)$  iff  $\widetilde{T}$  is  $q$ -open.

Note that in the preceding theorem the spaces  $X_0, Y$  and  $\widetilde{Y}$  are not necessarily closed and no continuity is required upon  $T$  and  $\widetilde{T}$ . So, even in the Banach space context, our main result completes our main tool due to Albrecht and Vasilescu. On the other hand, Theorem 1 is well adapted for applications to linear relations in normed spaces (see Theorem 2 below).

Let  $X, Y$  be normed spaces and  $Z$  be a linear subspace of  $X \times Y$ . Following Arens [8], we say that  $Z$  is a *linear relation* between  $X$  and  $Y$ . If  $Z$  is a linear relation, then we associate it the following linear subspaces:  $D(Z) = \{x \in X; \exists y \in Y: (x, y) \in Z\}$  called the domain of  $Z$ ,  $R(Z) = \{y \in Y; \exists x \in X: (x, y) \in Z\}$  called the range of  $Z$ ,  $N(Z) = \{x \in D(Z); (x, 0) \in Z\}$  called the kernel of  $Z$  and  $M(Z) = \{y \in R(Z); (0, y) \in Z\}$  its multivalued part. For  $x \in D(Z)$ , the set  $\{y \in Y; (x, y) \in Z\}$  is denoted by  $Z(x)$ . A linear relation  $Z$  can also be identified with the graph of the *multivalued linear operator*  $T : D(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  defined by  $Tx = Z(x)$  ( $x \in D(Z)$ ), where  $\mathcal{P}(Y)$  denotes the family of all subsets of  $Y$ .

A linear relation  $Z$  is said to be *open* if whenever  $U$  is a neighbourhood in  $D(Z)$ , the image  $Z(U) := \bigcup_{x \in U} Z(x)$  is a neighbourhood in  $R(Z)$ . Note that  $Z$  is open iff there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\rho B_Y \cap R(Z) \subset Z(B_X \cap D(Z))$$

(see [10, Propositions II.2.4 and II.3.2(b)]).

Assume that the linear relation  $Z$  satisfies

$$\dim(N(Z)) < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(Z)}) < \infty.$$

Then, the quantity

$$\overline{\text{ind}}(Z) = \dim(N(Z)) - \text{codim}(\overline{R(Z)})$$

is called the *topological index* of  $Z$  (see [10,13]).

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X, Y$  be normed spaces and  $Z_1 \subset X \times Y$  be an open, linear relation such that  $M(Z_1)$  is closed,

$$\dim(N(Z_1)) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(Z_1)}) < \infty.$$

There exists  $\epsilon_{Z_1} > 0$  such that for any linear relation  $Z_2 \subset X \times Y$  with  $M(Z_2)$  closed,

$$\widehat{\delta}(\overline{Z_1}, \overline{Z_2}) < \epsilon_{Z_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\delta}(M(Z_1), M(Z_2)) < \epsilon_{Z_1},$$

one has that

$$\dim(N(Z_2)) \leq \dim(N(Z_1)), \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(Z_2)}) \leq \text{codim}(\overline{R(Z_1)})$$

and

$$\overline{\text{ind}}(Z_2) \leq \overline{\text{ind}}(Z_1).$$

Moreover,  $\overline{\text{ind}}(Z_2) = \overline{\text{ind}}(Z_1)$  iff  $Z_2$  is open.

If the linear relations  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  have the same multivalued part, then we have shown in [12] that the preceding theorem still holds true even if their multivalued parts are not closed.

For interesting applications of linear relations to differential equations see for example [9,11].

## 2. Auxiliary results

### 2.1. Main tool

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space and  $X_0^i \subset X^i \subset \mathcal{X}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be closed subspaces of  $\mathcal{X}$ . A  $q$ -morphism  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X^1/X_0^1, X^2/X_0^2)$  is called *Fredholm* if

$$\dim(N(T)) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{codim}(R(T)) < \infty.$$

In this case, the quantity

$$\text{ind}(T) = \dim(N(T)) - \text{codim}(R(T))$$

is called the (algebraic) *index* of  $T$ . Note that since  $R(T)$  is finite codimensional from [7, Proposition I.7.6] we infer that  $R(T)$  is closed. Therefore, in the Banach space context, the topological and the algebraical index of  $T$  are the same. The following theorem is our main tool and is due to Albrecht and Vasilescu ([2, Proposition 2.20], [7, Theorem II.1.15]).

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space and  $X_0^i \subset X^i \subset \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\tilde{X}_0^i \subset \tilde{X}^i \subset \mathcal{X}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be closed subspaces of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Assume that  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X^1/X_0^1, X^2/X_0^2)$  is a Fredholm  $q$ -morphism. There exists  $\epsilon_T > 0$  such that every  $q$ -morphism  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{B}(\tilde{X}^1/\tilde{X}_0^1, \tilde{X}^2/\tilde{X}_0^2)$  which satisfies

$$\widehat{\delta}(X_0^1, \tilde{X}_0^1) < \epsilon_T, \quad \widehat{\delta}(X^2, \tilde{X}^2) < \epsilon_T \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\delta}(G_0(T), G_0(\tilde{T})) < \epsilon_T$$

is Fredholm and

$$\text{ind}(T) = \text{ind}(\tilde{T}).$$

Moreover,

$$\dim(N(\tilde{T})) \leq \dim(N(T)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{codim}(R(\tilde{T})) \leq \text{codim}(R(T)).$$

## 2.2. Some duality results

In the next lemma we introduce some useful isometric isomorphisms.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $M, N$  be linear subspaces of  $X$  such that  $N$  is closed in  $X$  and  $N \subset M$ . Then, we have the following:

(i) The mapping

$$\varphi : X'/M^\perp \rightarrow M', \quad \varphi(x' + M^\perp) = x'|_M$$

is an isometric isomorphism. We recall that  $M^\perp := \{x' \in X'; x'|_M = 0\}$ .

(ii) If  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/N$  is the canonical surjection, then the mapping

$$\psi : (M/N)' \rightarrow N^\perp/M^\perp, \quad \psi = \varphi^{-1} \circ \pi'$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

(iii) If in addition  $M$  is closed in  $X$ , then  $\delta(M, N) = \delta(N^\perp, M^\perp)$ .

**Proof.** For the proof of (i) see [10, Proposition III.1.8(a)] and for the proof of (ii) in the case  $M = X$  see [10, Proposition III.1.8(b)]. For the proofs of (ii) and (iii) in the Banach space context see [7, Propositions I.8.5, I.8.10].  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $M, N$  be subspaces of  $X$  such that  $N$  is closed in  $X$  and  $N \subset M$ . From the above lemma it follows that

$$\dim(M/N) = \dim((M/N)') = \dim(N^\perp/M^\perp).$$

In particular, if  $N$  is a linear subspace of  $X$ , then  $\dim(X/\bar{N}) = \dim(N^\perp)$ .

The following lemma gives some duality results and is essential in the proof of the main result of this paper.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $X, Y$  be two normed spaces,  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  and  $T' \in \mathcal{B}(Y', X')$  its adjoint. Then, we have the following:

- (i)  $\overline{R(T')} \subset N(T)^\perp$  and  $R(T)^\perp = N(T')$ .
- (ii) The operator  $T$  is open iff  $R(T') = N(T)^\perp$ .
- (iii)  $\text{codim}(\overline{R(T)}) = \dim(N(T'))$  and  $\dim(N(T)) \leq \text{codim}(\overline{R(T')})$ .
- (iv) If  $T$  is open then  $R(T')$  is closed and  $\dim(N(T)) = \text{codim}(R(T'))$ . Moreover, if  $R(T')$  is closed and  $\dim(N(T)) = \text{codim}(R(T')) < \infty$ , then  $T$  is open.

**Proof.** For the proofs of (i) and (ii) see for example [10, Propositions III.1.4(a), III.4.6(b)] (in the more general context of linear relations). Claims (iii) and (iv) follow easily from (i) and (ii) (see [12] for a complete proof).  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be normed spaces,  $X_0, X$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $X_0 \subset X$  and  $X_0$  closed in  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $Y_0, Y$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that  $Y_0 \subset Y$  and  $Y_0$  closed in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Consider  $S \in \mathcal{B}(X/X_0, Y/Y_0)$  and  $S' \in \mathcal{B}((Y/Y_0)', (X/X_0)')$  its adjoint. Let  $\psi_1 : Y_0^\perp/Y^\perp \rightarrow (Y/Y_0)'$  be the isometric isomorphism given in Lemma 1(ii), that is  $\psi_1(y'_0 + Y^\perp) = \eta$ , where  $\eta(y + Y_0) = y'_0(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Using the same result, consider also the isometric isomorphism  $\psi_2 : (X/X_0)' \rightarrow X_0^\perp/X^\perp$  given by  $\psi_2(\xi) = x'_0 + X^\perp$  where  $x'_0 \in \mathcal{X}'$  is arbitrary chosen with the property  $x'_0(x) = \xi(x + X_0)$  for all  $x \in X$ . We associated to  $S'$  the continuous  $q$ -morphism  $S^* \in \mathcal{B}(Y_0^\perp/Y^\perp, X_0^\perp/X^\perp)$  defined by

$$S^* : Y_0^\perp/Y^\perp \rightarrow X_0^\perp/X^\perp, \quad S^* = \psi_2 S' \psi_1.$$

Let  $y'_0 \in Y_0^\perp$  and  $x'_0 \in \mathcal{X}'$ . Note that  $S^*(y'_0 + Y^\perp) = x'_0 + X^\perp$  iff for any  $x \in X$  one has that  $x'_0(x) = y'_0(y)$  where  $y \in Y$  is arbitrary chosen with the property  $(x, y) \in G_0(S)$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be normed spaces,  $X_0, X$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $X_0 \subset X$  and  $X_0$  closed in  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $Y_0, Y$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that  $Y_0 \subset Y$  and  $Y_0$  closed in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Consider  $S \in \mathcal{B}(X/X_0, Y/Y_0)$  and  $S^* \in \mathcal{B}(Y_0^\perp/Y^\perp, X_0^\perp/X^\perp)$  defined in Remark 2.

- (i) We have that  $G_0(S^*) = J(G_0(S))^\perp$ , where  $J : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{X}$  is the isometric isomorphism given by  $J(x, y) = (-y, x)$  for all  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ .
- (ii)  $\text{codim}(\overline{R(S)}) = \dim(N(S^*))$  and  $\dim(N(S)) \leq \text{codim}(\overline{R(S^*)})$ .
- (iii) If  $S$  is open then  $R(S^*)$  is closed in  $X_0^\perp/X^\perp$  and  $\dim(N(S)) = \text{codim}(R(S^*))$ . Moreover, if  $R(S^*)$  is closed in  $X_0^\perp/X^\perp$  and  $\dim(N(S)) = \text{codim}(R(S^*)) < \infty$ , then  $S$  is open.

**Proof.** (i) Let  $(y'_0, x'_0) \in G_0(S^*)$ . Using Remark 2 it follows that  $x'_0(x) = y'_0(y)$  for any  $(x, y) \in G_0(S)$ . This implies that  $(y'_0, x'_0)(-y, x) = 0$  for any  $(x, y) \in G_0(S)$ , that is  $(y'_0, x'_0) \in J(G_0(S))^\perp$ .

Reciprocally, assume that  $(y'_0, x'_0) \in \mathcal{Y}' \times \mathcal{X}'$  is such that  $(y'_0, x'_0)(-y, x) = 0$  for any  $(x, y) \in G_0(S)$ . As we clearly have that  $\{0\} \times Y_0 \subset G_0(S)$ , we deduce that  $y'_0 \in Y_0^\perp$ . Using that for any  $x \in X$  we have that  $x'_0(x) = y'_0(y)$  where  $y \in Y$  is arbitrary chosen with the property  $(x, y) \in G_0(S)$  and Remark 2, it follows that  $S^*(y'_0 + Y^\perp) = x'_0 + X^\perp$ , that is  $(y'_0, x'_0) \in G_0(S^*)$ .

(ii) Let  $S' \in \mathcal{B}((Y/Y_0)', (X/X_0)')$  be the adjoint of  $S$ . From Lemma 2(iii) one has that  $\text{codim}(\overline{R(S)}) = \dim(N(S'))$ . On the other hand a simple calculation shows that  $N(S') = \psi_1(N(S^*))$ . Because  $\psi_1$  is an isomorphism, it follows that  $\dim(N(S')) = \dim(N(S^*))$ , which together with the equality above imply that  $\text{codim}(\overline{R(S)}) = \dim(N(S^*))$ . Note that  $R(S^*) = \psi_2(R(S'))$  and from Lemma 2(iii), we have that  $\dim(N(S)) \leq \text{codim}(\overline{R(S')})$ . Using that  $\psi_2^{-1} : X_0^\perp/X^\perp \rightarrow (X/X_0)'$  is an isometric isomorphism it follows that

$$\overline{R(S')} = \overline{\psi_2^{-1}(R(S^*))} = \psi_2^{-1}(\overline{R(S^*)})$$

and

$$\dim((X_0^\perp/X^\perp)/\overline{R(S^*)}) = \dim(((X/X_0)')/(\psi_2^{-1}(\overline{R(S^*)}))).$$

Consequently  $\dim(N(S)) \leq \text{codim}(\overline{R(S')}) = \text{codim}(\overline{R(S^*)})$ .

(iii) Assume now that  $S$  is open. Then, from Lemma 2(iv) it follows that  $R(S')$  is closed in  $(X/X_0)'$  and  $\dim(N(S)) = \text{codim}(R(S'))$ . Because  $\psi_2$  is an isometric isomorphism it follows that  $R(S^*) = \psi_2(R(S'))$  is closed in  $X_0^\perp/X^\perp$  and  $\dim(N(S)) = \text{codim}(R(S')) = \text{codim}(R(S^*))$ . Assume now that  $R(S^*)$  is closed in  $X_0^\perp/X^\perp$  and  $\dim(N(S)) = \text{codim}(R(S^*)) < \infty$ . Because  $\psi_2^{-1}$  is an isometric isomorphism and  $\psi_2^{-1}(R(S^*)) = R(S')$  it follows that  $R(S')$  is closed in  $(X/X_0)'$  and  $\text{codim}(R(S^*)) = \text{codim}(R(S'))$ . Hence,  $\dim(N(S)) = \text{codim}(R(S')) < \infty$  and the openness of  $S$  follows now from Lemma 2(iv).  $\square$

The corresponding result in the Banach spaces context of the following lemma has been proved in [7, Proposition I.8.15(iii)].

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be normed spaces,  $X, \tilde{X}, X_0, \tilde{X}_0$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $X_0 \subset X, \tilde{X}_0 \subset \tilde{X}$  and  $X_0, \tilde{X}_0$  closed in  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $Y, \tilde{Y}, Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that  $Y_0 \subset Y, \tilde{Y}_0 \subset \tilde{Y}$  and  $Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0$  closed in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . If  $S \in \mathcal{B}(X/X_0, Y/Y_0)$  and  $\tilde{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\tilde{X}/\tilde{X}_0, \tilde{Y}/\tilde{Y}_0)$  then  $\delta(G_0(\tilde{S}), \overline{G_0(\tilde{S})}) = \delta(G_0(S^*), \overline{G_0(\tilde{S}^*)})$ .

**Proof.** Using Lemmas 3(i), 1(iii) and the fact that  $J$  is an isometric isomorphism we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(G_0(S^*), \overline{G_0(\tilde{S}^*)}) &= \delta(J(G_0(S))^\perp, J(G_0(\tilde{S}))^\perp) = \delta(\overline{J(G_0(\tilde{S}))}, \overline{J(G_0(S))}) \\ &= \delta(J(\overline{G_0(\tilde{S})}), J(\overline{G_0(S)})) = \delta(\overline{G_0(\tilde{S})}, \overline{G_0(S)}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Proof of the perturbation result for q-morphisms

#### 3.1. Preliminary results

In the following proposition we prove an Albrecht–Vasilescu-type result for q-morphisms acting between normed spaces.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be normed spaces,  $X, \tilde{X}, X_0, \tilde{X}_0$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $X_0 \subset X, \tilde{X}_0 \subset \tilde{X}$  and  $X_0, \tilde{X}_0$  closed in  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $Y, \tilde{Y}, Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that  $Y_0 \subset Y, \tilde{Y}_0 \subset \tilde{Y}$  and  $Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0$  closed in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Assume that  $S \in \mathcal{B}(X/X_0, Y/Y_0)$  is open,

$$\dim(N(S)) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(S)}) < \infty.$$

There exists  $\epsilon_S > 0$  such that if  $\tilde{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\tilde{X}/\tilde{X}_0, \tilde{Y}/\tilde{Y}_0)$  satisfies

$$\widehat{\delta}(\overline{G_0(\tilde{S})}, \overline{G_0(\tilde{S})}) < \epsilon_S, \quad \widehat{\delta}(X_0, \tilde{X}_0) < \epsilon_S \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\delta}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}_0) < \epsilon_S,$$

then

$$\dim(N(\tilde{S})) \leq \dim(N(S)), \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(\tilde{S})}) \leq \text{codim}(\overline{R(S)})$$

and

$$\overline{\text{ind}}(\tilde{S}) \leq \overline{\text{ind}}(S).$$

Moreover,  $\overline{\text{ind}}(\tilde{S}) = \overline{\text{ind}}(S)$  iff  $\tilde{S}$  is open.

**Proof.** Consider the bounded linear operators between Banach spaces given in Remark 2

$$S^* : Y_0^\perp / Y^\perp \rightarrow X_0^\perp / X^\perp, \quad \tilde{S}^* : \tilde{Y}_0^\perp / \tilde{Y}^\perp \rightarrow \tilde{X}_0^\perp / \tilde{X}^\perp.$$

Because  $S$  is open, from Lemma 3(ii) and (iii), we deduce that  $R(S^*)$  is closed in  $X_0^\perp / X^\perp$  and

$$\dim(N(S)) = \text{codim}(R(S^*)), \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(S)}) = \dim(N(S^*)).$$

Hence,

$$S^* \text{ is Fredholm and } \text{ind}(S^*) = -\overline{\text{ind}}(S).$$

Applying Theorem 3 to  $S^*$  and  $\tilde{S}^*$  we obtain that there exists  $\epsilon_S > 0$  such that if

$$\widehat{\delta}(X_0^\perp, \tilde{X}_0^\perp) < \epsilon_S, \quad \widehat{\delta}(Y^\perp, \tilde{Y}^\perp) < \epsilon_S \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\delta}(G_0(S^*), G_0(\tilde{S}^*)) < \epsilon_S,$$

then  $\tilde{S}^*$  is Fredholm,

$$\dim(N(\tilde{S}^*)) \leq \dim(N(S^*)), \quad \text{codim}(R(\tilde{S}^*)) \leq \text{codim}(R(S^*))$$

and

$$\text{ind}(\tilde{S}^*) = \text{ind}(S^*).$$

In particular we have that  $R(\tilde{S}^*)$  is closed in  $\tilde{X}_0^\perp / \tilde{X}^\perp$  and using again Lemma 3(ii), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim}(\overline{R(\tilde{S})}) &= \dim(N(\tilde{S}^*)) \leq \dim(N(S^*)) = \text{codim}(\overline{R(S)}), \\ \dim(N(\tilde{S})) &\leq \text{codim}(R(\tilde{S}^*)) \leq \text{codim}(R(S^*)) = \dim(N(S)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\overline{\text{ind}}(\tilde{S}) \leq -\text{ind}(\tilde{S}^*) = -\text{ind}(S^*) = \overline{\text{ind}}(S).$$

Moreover, using that  $R(\tilde{S}^*)$  is closed in  $\tilde{X}_0^\perp / \tilde{X}^\perp$  and  $\text{codim}(R(\tilde{S}^*)) < \infty$ , it follows from Lemma 3(iii) that  $\dim(N(\tilde{S})) = \text{codim}(R(\tilde{S}^*))$  iff  $\tilde{S}$  is open. Hence,  $\tilde{S}$  is open iff  $\overline{\text{ind}}(\tilde{S}) = \overline{\text{ind}}(S)$ .

If we also note that (see Lemmas 1(iii) and 4)

$$\widehat{\delta}(X_0^\perp, \tilde{X}_0^\perp) = \widehat{\delta}(X_0, \tilde{X}_0), \quad \widehat{\delta}(Y^\perp, \tilde{Y}^\perp) = \widehat{\delta}(\bar{Y}, \bar{\tilde{Y}})$$

and

$$\widehat{\delta}(G_0(S^*), G_0(\tilde{S}^*)) = \widehat{\delta}(\overline{G_0(S)}, \overline{G_0(\tilde{S})})$$

the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** (i) Let  $X, Y$  be two normed spaces and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear, bounded operator with closed range. Results (3.3.7.1), (3.5.6.1), (6.12.1.2) and (6.12.1.21) from the monograph [13] imply that the following properties are equivalent.

- (a) There exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\rho B_Y \cap R(T) \subset T(B_X)$  (that is  $T$  is open in our settings),  $\dim(N(T)) < \infty$  and  $\text{codim}(R(T)) < \infty$ .
- (b)  $\dim(N(T')) < \infty$  and  $\text{codim}(R(T')) < \infty$  (that is  $T'$  is Fredholm in our settings).

In this case we have that  $\overline{\text{ind}}(T) = -\text{ind}(T')$ .

(ii) Under the assumptions of Proposition 1, if we suppose in addition that  $R(\tilde{S})$  is closed in  $\tilde{Y}/\tilde{Y}_0$ , then using that  $\tilde{S}'$  is Fredholm, it follows from (i) that  $\tilde{S}$  is open. Hence, in this case one has that  $\overline{\text{ind}}(\tilde{S}) = \overline{\text{ind}}(S)$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let  $X, Y$  be normed spaces  $X_0$  be a closed subspace of  $X$  and  $Y_0$  be a closed subspace of  $Y$ .

- (i) The operator  $S : X \rightarrow Y/Y_0$  is open iff the operator

$$S_0 : G_0(S) \rightarrow Y, \quad S_0(x, y) = y$$

is open.

- (ii) Assume that  $S_0 : X \rightarrow Y$  is open,  $S_0(X_0) \subset Y_0$  and  $Y_0 \subset R(S_0)$ . Then

$$S : X/X_0 \rightarrow Y/Y_0, \quad S(x + X_0) = S_0(x) + Y_0$$

(called the  $q$ -morphism induced by  $S_0$ ) is open.

(iii) Let  $S_0 \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  be such that  $S_0(X_0) = Y_0$ . Assume that the  $q$ -morphism  $S : X/X_0 \rightarrow Y/Y_0$  induced by  $S_0$  is open and  $S_0|_{X_0} : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  is open. Then  $S_0$  is open.

**Proof.** (i) Assume that  $S$  is open and consider  $k > 0$  such that for any  $y + Y_0 \in R(S)$ , there exists  $x \in X$  with

$$Sx = y + Y_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\| \leq k\|y + Y_0\| \leq k\|y\|.$$

This implies, in particular, that for every  $y \in R(S_0)$ , there exists  $(x, y) \in G_0(S)$ ,

$$y = S_0(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \|(x, y)\| \leq (k+1)\|y\|.$$

Conversely, assume that  $S_0$  is open and consider  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\rho B_Y \cap R(S_0) \subset S_0(B_{G_0(S)})$ . Take  $0 < \rho' < \rho$  and  $y + Y_0 \in \rho' B_{Y/Y_0} \cap R(S)$ . There exists  $y_0 \in Y_0$  such that  $\|y + y_0\| < \rho$  and  $y + y_0 \in R(S_0)$ . Using the openness of  $S_0$  we deduce that there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\|(x, y + y_0)\| \leq 1$  and  $(x, y + y_0) \in G_0(S)$ . In particular we have that  $\|x\| \leq 1$  and  $S(x) = y + Y_0$ . Hence  $\rho' B_{Y/Y_0} \cap R(S) \subset S(B_X)$ , so  $S$  is open.

(ii) Since  $S_0$  is open we can find  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\rho B_Y \cap R(S_0) \subset S_0(B_X)$ . Take  $0 < \rho' < \rho$  and  $y + Y_0 \in R(S)$  such that  $\|y + Y_0\| \leq \rho'$ . Then there exists  $y_1 \in y + Y_0$  such that  $\|y_1\| \leq \rho$ . Using  $y + Y_0 \in R(S)$  it follows that there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $S(x + X_0) = y + Y_0$ , so  $y - S_0(x) \in Y_0 \subset R(S_0)$ . It follows that  $y \in R(S_0)$  and using again that  $Y_0 \subset R(S_0)$ , we deduce that  $y_1 \in R(S_0)$ . Hence, there exists  $x_1 \in B_X$  such that  $S_0(x_1) = y_1$ . Then,  $S(x_1 + X_0) = S_0(x_1) + Y_0 = y + Y_0$  and  $\|x_1 + X_0\| \leq \|x_1\| \leq 1$ . Consequently  $\rho' B_{Y/Y_0} \cap R(S) \subset S(B_{X/X_0})$ , that is  $S$  open.

(iii) Because  $S$  is open there is  $k > 0$  such that for all  $y + Y_0 \in R(S)$ , there exists  $x + X_0 \in X/X_0$  satisfying  $S(x + X_0) = y + Y_0$  and  $\|x + X_0\| \leq k\|y + Y_0\|$ . If we take  $k' > k$  we can find  $x' \in X$  such that  $S(x' + X_0) = S_0(x') + Y_0 = y + Y_0$  and  $\|x'\| \leq k'\|y + Y_0\|$ . Taking into account that  $R(S) = R(S_0)/Y_0$  we obtain that for all  $y \in R(S_0)$  there exists  $x' \in X$  and  $y_0 \in Y_0$  such that  $y = S_0(x') + y_0$  and  $\|x'\| \leq k'\|y\|$ . Because  $S_0|_{X_0}$  is open and  $S_0(X_0) = Y_0$ , there is  $k'' > 0$  such that, for every  $y_0 \in Y_0$  there exists  $x'' \in X_0$  such that  $S_0(x'') = y_0$  and  $\|x''\| \leq k''\|y_0\|$ . Let  $y \in R(S_0)$ . As we have noticed before, we can find  $x' \in X$  and  $x'' \in X_0$  such that

$$y = S_0(x' + x''), \quad \|x'\| \leq k'\|y\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|x''\| \leq k''\|S_0(x'')\|.$$

Therefore, if we take  $x = x' + x''$  we have that  $S_0(x) = y$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &\leq \|x'\| + \|x''\| \leq k'\|y\| + k''\|y - S_0(x')\| \leq k'\|y\| + k''\|y\| + k''\|S_0\|\|x'\| \\ &\leq (k' + k'' + k'k''\|S_0\|)\|y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $S_0$  is open.  $\square$

The idea of the following lemma comes from [6].

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$  be normed spaces  $U_0, U$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that the closure of  $U_0$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  is a subset of  $U$  and  $V_0, V$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $V_0 \subset V$  and  $V_0$  is closed in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $L : U/U_0 \rightarrow V/V_0$  be a  $q$ -morphism such that there exists  $L_0 \in \mathcal{B}(U, V)$  satisfying

$$L_0(U_0) \subset V_0, \quad L(u + U_0) = L_0u + V_0.$$

Then

$$P : U/\overline{U_0} \rightarrow V/V_0, \quad P(u + \overline{U_0}) = L_0u + V_0$$

is a bounded operator. Moreover  $R(P) = R(L)$  and  $N(P) = L_0^{-1}(V_0)/\overline{U_0}$ . In particular,

$$\dim(N(L)) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(L)}) < \infty$$

iff

$$\dim(N(P)) < \infty, \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(P)}) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(\overline{U_0}/U_0) < \infty.$$

In this case

$$\dim(N(L)) = \dim(N(P)) + \dim(\overline{U_0}/U_0),$$

$$\text{codim}(\overline{R(L)}) = \text{codim}(\overline{R(P)}),$$

$$\text{ind}(L) = \text{ind}(P) + \dim(\overline{U_0}/U_0).$$

**Proof.** Let  $u_1, u_2 \in U$  be such that  $u_1 - u_2 \in \bar{U}_0$ . Using  $L_0(\bar{U}_0) \subset V_0$  it follows that  $L_0(u_1 - u_2) \in V_0$ , so the operator  $P$  is well defined. On the other hand, we have that

$$\|P(u_1 + \bar{U}_0)\| = \|L_0(u_2) + V_0\| \leq \|L_0(u_2)\| \leq \|L_0\| \|u_2\|.$$

As  $u_2$  was chosen arbitrarily in  $u_1 + \bar{U}_0$ , it follows that

$$\|P(u_1 + \bar{U}_0)\| \leq \|L_0\| \|u_1 + \bar{U}_0\|,$$

so  $P$  is bounded. It is clear that

$$R(P) = R(L), \quad N(P) = L_0^{-1}(V_0)/\bar{U}_0$$

and, because

$$N(P) \simeq (L_0^{-1}(V_0)/U_0)/(\bar{U}_0/U_0) = N(L)/(\bar{U}_0/U_0),$$

we obtain the desired conclusion.  $\square$

The following result has been proved in the Banach space context in [7, Lemma I.9.4].

**Proposition 2.** Let  $X, Y$  be two linear spaces,  $X_0 \subset X, Y_0 \subset Y$  be linear subspaces and  $T : X/X_0 \rightarrow Y/Y_0$  be a  $q$ -morphism. Consider the  $q$ -morphisms

$$S_1 : G_0(T)/(X_0 \times Y_0) \rightarrow X/X_0, \quad S_1((x, y) + X_0 \times Y_0) = x + X_0$$

and

$$S_2 : G_0(T)/(X_0 \times Y_0) \rightarrow Y/Y_0, \quad S_2((x, y) + X_0 \times Y_0) = y + Y_0.$$

Then,  $S_1$  is bijective and  $T = S_2 S_1^{-1}$ . In particular

$$\dim(N(T)) = \dim(N(S_2)), \quad R(T) = R(S_2).$$

**Proof.** It is clear that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are well defined and a simple calculation shows that  $S_1$  is bijective and  $S_1^{-1}(x + X_0) = (x, y) + X_0 \times Y_0$ , where  $y \in Y$  satisfies  $T(x + X_0) = y + Y_0$ . Fix  $x \in X$ . There exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $(x, y) \in G_0(T)$ . We have that

$$(S_2 S_1^{-1})(x + X_0) = S_2((x, y) + X_0 \times Y_0) = y + Y_0 = T(x + X_0),$$

from where we obtain that  $T = S_2 S_1^{-1}$ . It follows that  $R(T) = R(S_2)$  and  $N(T) \simeq N(S_2)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 1

Consider the linear operators

$$S_0 : G_0(T) \rightarrow Y, \quad S_0(x, y) = y \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{S}_0 : G_0(\tilde{T}) \rightarrow Y, \quad \tilde{S}_0(x, y) = y.$$

We have that

$$\|S_0(x, y)\| = \|y\| \leq \|(x, y)\|, \quad \forall (x, y) \in G_0(T).$$

It follows that  $S_0 \in \mathcal{B}(G_0(T), Y)$  and analogously one has that  $\tilde{S}_0 \in \mathcal{B}(G_0(\tilde{T}), Y)$ . Using Proposition 2 we associate to  $T$  and to  $\tilde{T}$  respectively, the  $q$ -morphisms

$$S_2 : G_0(T)/(X_0 \times Y_0) \rightarrow Y/Y_0, \quad S_2((x, y) + X_0 \times Y_0) = S_0(x, y) + Y_0,$$

$$\tilde{S}_2 : G_0(\tilde{T})/(X_0 \times \tilde{Y}_0) \rightarrow \tilde{Y}/\tilde{Y}_0, \quad \tilde{S}_2((x, y) + X_0 \times \tilde{Y}_0) = \tilde{S}_0(x, y) + \tilde{Y}_0,$$

and using Lemma 6, the fact that  $Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0$  are closed in  $\mathcal{Y}$ ,  $\bar{X}_0 \times Y_0 \subset G_0(T)$  and  $\bar{X}_0 \times \tilde{Y}_0 \subset G_0(\tilde{T})$ , we associate to  $S_2$  and to  $\tilde{S}_2$  respectively, the bounded operators

$$S : G_0(T)/(\bar{X}_0 \times Y_0) \rightarrow Y/Y_0, \quad S((x, y) + \bar{X}_0 \times Y_0) = S_0(x, y) + Y_0,$$

$$\tilde{S} : G_0(\tilde{T})/(\bar{X}_0 \times \tilde{Y}_0) \rightarrow \tilde{Y}/\tilde{Y}_0, \quad \tilde{S}((x, y) + \bar{X}_0 \times \tilde{Y}_0) = \tilde{S}_0(x, y) + \tilde{Y}_0.$$



Note also that  $G_0(T) = G_0(\phi_T)$ , hence from the openness of  $\phi_T$  and Lemma 5(i) it follows that  $S_0$  is open. This together with  $S_0(\bar{X}_0 \times Y_0) = Y_0$  and Lemma 5(ii) imply that  $S$  is open. On the other hand, using Proposition 2 and Lemma 6 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\bar{X}_0/X_0) &< \infty, \\ \dim(N(T)) &= \dim(N(S)) + \dim(\bar{X}_0/X_0), \\ \operatorname{codim}(\overline{R(T)}) &= \operatorname{codim}(\overline{R(S)}) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\overline{\operatorname{ind}}(T) = \overline{\operatorname{ind}}(S) + \dim(\bar{X}_0/X_0). \quad (2)$$

We are now in position to apply Proposition 1 to  $S$  and  $\tilde{S}$ . We obtain the existence of a positive constant  $\epsilon_S > 0$  such that, if

$$\widehat{\delta}(\overline{G_0(S)}, \overline{G_0(\tilde{S})}) < \epsilon_S, \quad \widehat{\delta}(\bar{Y}, \tilde{Y}) < \epsilon_S \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\delta}(\bar{X}_0 \times Y_0, \bar{X}_0 \times \tilde{Y}_0) < \epsilon_S,$$

then

$$\dim(N(\tilde{S})) \leq \dim(N(S)), \quad \operatorname{codim}(\overline{R(\tilde{S})}) \leq \operatorname{codim}(\overline{R(S)}) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\overline{\operatorname{ind}}(\tilde{S}) \leq \overline{\operatorname{ind}}(S). \quad (4)$$

Moreover,  $\overline{\operatorname{ind}}(\tilde{S}) = \overline{\operatorname{ind}}(S)$  if and only if  $\tilde{S}$  is open.

Using again Proposition 2 and Lemma 6 it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(N(\tilde{T})) &= \dim(N(\tilde{S})) + \dim(\bar{X}_0/X_0), \\ \operatorname{codim}(\overline{R(\tilde{T})}) &= \operatorname{codim}(\overline{R(\tilde{S})}) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\overline{\operatorname{ind}}(\tilde{T}) = \overline{\operatorname{ind}}(\tilde{S}) + \dim(\bar{X}_0/X_0). \quad (6)$$

From (1)–(6) we obtain that

$$\dim(N(\tilde{T})) \leq \dim(N(T)), \quad \operatorname{codim}(\overline{R(\tilde{T})}) \leq \operatorname{codim}(\overline{R(T)})$$

and

$$\overline{\operatorname{ind}}(\tilde{T}) \leq \overline{\operatorname{ind}}(T),$$

with equality if and only if  $\tilde{S}$  is open. Note that  $R(\tilde{S}_0|(\bar{X}_0 \times \tilde{Y}_0)) = \tilde{Y}_0$  and  $\tilde{S}_0|(\bar{X}_0 \times \tilde{Y}_0)$  is open (because for every  $y \in \tilde{Y}_0$  one has that  $\tilde{S}_0(0, y_0) = y_0$  and  $\|(0, y_0)\| = \|y_0\|$ ). It follows that

$$\tilde{S} \text{ is open} \iff \tilde{T} \text{ is q-open.}$$

Indeed, if  $\tilde{S}$  is open, using Lemma 5(iii) it follows that  $\tilde{S}_0$  is open and using Lemma 5(i) and  $G_0(\tilde{T}) = G_0(\phi_{\tilde{T}})$ , it follows that  $\tilde{T}$  is q-open. Reciprocally, if  $\tilde{T}$  is q-open, then, using Lemma 5(i) we obtain that  $\tilde{S}_0$  is open and using Lemma 5(ii) we deduce that  $\tilde{S}$  is open. Hence,

$$\overline{\operatorname{ind}}(\tilde{T}) = \overline{\operatorname{ind}}(T) \iff \tilde{T} \text{ is q-open.}$$

Note also that

$$\widehat{\delta}(\bar{X}_0 \times Y_0, \bar{X}_0 \times \tilde{Y}_0) \leq \widehat{\delta}(Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0). \quad (7)$$

Indeed, let  $(x, y) \in \bar{X}_0 \times Y_0$  be such that  $\|x\| + \|y\| \leq 1$  and  $z \in \tilde{Y}_0$ . We have that

$$\inf_{(x_1, z_1) \in \bar{X}_0 \times \tilde{Y}_0} \|(x, y) - (x_1, z_1)\| \leq \|(x, y) - (x, z)\| = \|y - z\|.$$

This implies that

$$\inf_{(x_1, z_1) \in \bar{X}_0 \times \tilde{Y}_0} \|(x, y) - (x_1, z_1)\| \leq \inf_{z_1 \in \tilde{Y}_0} \|y - z_1\|$$

and

$$\delta(\overline{X_0} \times Y_0, \overline{X_0} \times \tilde{Y}_0) \leq \delta(Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0),$$

and interchanging  $Y_0$  and  $\tilde{Y}_0$  in the above inequality it follows that

$$\delta(\overline{X_0} \times \tilde{Y}_0, \overline{X_0} \times Y_0) \leq \delta(\tilde{Y}_0, Y_0).$$

Now, (7) follows from the above estimates. If we prove that

$$\widehat{\delta}(\overline{G_0(S)}, \overline{G_0(\tilde{S})}) \leq 2\widehat{\delta}(\overline{G_0(T)}, \overline{G_0(\tilde{T})}) + \widehat{\delta}(Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0) \quad (8)$$

the proof will be completed. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(S) &= \{(x, y, y + y_0) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}; (x, y) \in G_0(T), y_0 \in Y_0\}, \\ G_0(\tilde{S}) &= \{(x_1, y_1, y_1 + y^0) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}; (x_1, y_1) \in G_0(\tilde{T}), y^0 \in \tilde{Y}_0\}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with  $Y_0$  and  $\tilde{Y}_0$  closed in  $\mathcal{Y}$  imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G_0(S)} &= \{(x, y, y + y_0) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}; (x, y) \in \overline{G_0(T)}, y_0 \in Y_0\}, \\ \overline{G_0(\tilde{S})} &= \{(x_1, y_1, y_1 + y^0) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}; (x_1, y_1) \in \overline{G_0(\tilde{T})}, y^0 \in \tilde{Y}_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(x, y, y + y_0) \in \overline{G_0(S)}$  be such that  $\|x\| + \|y\| + \|y + y_0\| \leq 1$ . It follows that  $(x, y) \in \overline{G_0(T)}$ ,  $y_0 \in Y_0$  and  $\|y_0\| = \|y + y_0 - y\| \leq \|y\| + \|y + y_0\| \leq 1$ . For any  $(x_1, y_1) \in \overline{G_0(\tilde{T})}$  and  $y^0 \in \tilde{Y}_0$  we have that  $(x_1, y_1, y_1 + y^0) \in \overline{G_0(\tilde{S})}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x, y, y + y_0) - (x_1, y_1, y_1 + y^0)\| &= \|x - x_1\| + \|y - y_1\| + \|(y - y_1) + (y_0 - y^0)\| \\ &\leq 2(\|x - x_1\| + \|y - y_1\|) + \|y_0 - y^0\|. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\delta(\overline{G_0(S)}, \overline{G_0(\tilde{S})}) \leq 2\delta(\overline{G_0(T)}, \overline{G_0(\tilde{T})}) + \delta(Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0),$$

which together with the corresponding inequality obtained interchanging  $S$  and  $\tilde{S}$  imply (8).

**Remark 4.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, if we suppose in addition that  $R(\tilde{T})$  is closed  $\tilde{Y}/\tilde{Y}_0$ , then from  $R(\tilde{T}) = R(\tilde{S})$  (see Lemma 6 and the preceding proof) and Remark 3 it follows that  $\tilde{S}$  is open, so  $\tilde{T}$  is open (see the proof above). Consequently, in this case one has that  $\overline{\text{ind}}(\tilde{T}) = \overline{\text{ind}}(T)$ .

### 3.3. Some consequences

The following consequence of Theorem 1 is the key ingredient in the proof of our application to linear relations.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be normed spaces,  $X, \tilde{X}$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $Y, \tilde{Y}, Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that  $Y_0 \subset Y, \tilde{Y}_0 \subset \tilde{Y}$  and  $Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0$  are closed in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y/Y_0$  be an open linear operator satisfying

$$\dim(N(T)) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(T)}) < \infty.$$

Then there exists  $\epsilon_T > 0$  such that for every linear operator  $\tilde{T} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}/\tilde{Y}_0$  satisfying

$$\widehat{\delta}(\overline{G_0(T)}, \overline{G_0(\tilde{T})}) < \epsilon_T, \quad \widehat{\delta}(Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0) < \epsilon_T \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\delta}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}_0) < \epsilon_T$$

one has that

$$\dim(N(\tilde{T})) \leq \dim(N(T)), \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(\tilde{T})}) \leq \text{codim}(\overline{R(T)})$$

and

$$\overline{\text{ind}}(\tilde{T}) \leq \overline{\text{ind}}(T).$$

Moreover,  $\overline{\text{ind}}(\tilde{T}) = \overline{\text{ind}}(T)$  iff  $\tilde{T}$  is open.

Another interesting consequence of Theorem 1 is given in the following

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be normed spaces,  $X, \tilde{X}, X_0$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $X_0 \subset X \cap \tilde{X}$  and  $Y, \tilde{Y}, Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that  $Y_0 \subset Y$  and  $\tilde{Y}_0 \subset \tilde{Y}$ . Let  $T : X/X_0 \rightarrow Y/Y_0$  be a  $q$ -open  $q$ -morphism with  $G_0(T)$  closed in  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ ,

$$\dim(N(T)) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(T)}) < \infty.$$

Then there exists  $\epsilon_T > 0$  such that for every  $q$ -morphism  $\tilde{T} : \tilde{X}/X_0 \rightarrow \tilde{Y}/\tilde{Y}_0$  with  $G_0(\tilde{T})$  closed in  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ ,

$$\widehat{\delta}(G_0(T), G_0(\tilde{T})) < \epsilon_T, \quad \widehat{\delta}(Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0) < \epsilon_T \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\delta}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}_0) < \epsilon_T$$

we have that

$$\dim(N(\tilde{T})) \leq \dim(N(T)), \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(\tilde{T})}) \leq \text{codim}(\overline{R(T)})$$

and

$$\overline{\text{ind}}(\tilde{T}) \leq \overline{\text{ind}}(T).$$

Moreover,  $\overline{\text{ind}}(\tilde{T}) = \overline{\text{ind}}(T)$  iff  $\tilde{T}$  is  $q$ -open.

**Proof.** Using that  $G_0(T)$  is closed in  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$  it follows easily that  $Y_0$  is closed in  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\overline{X_0} \times Y_0 \subset G_0(T)$ . Analogously, using that  $G_0(\tilde{T})$  is closed in  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$  it follows easily that  $\tilde{Y}_0$  is closed in  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\overline{\tilde{X}_0} \times \tilde{Y}_0 \subset G_0(\tilde{T})$ . Now, Corollary 2 follows from Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Remark 5.** Assume that in the preceding corollary one has that  $\tilde{T} = T + A$  where  $A_0 \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ ,  $A_0(X_0) \subset Y_0$  and  $A : X/X_0 \rightarrow Y/Y_0$  is the  $q$ -morphism induced by  $A_0$ . Then

$$\widehat{\delta}(G_0(T), G_0(\tilde{T})) \leq \|A_0\|.$$

For the proof, remark that

$$G_0(T + A) = \{(x, y + A_0x); (x, y) \in G_0(T)\}.$$

We prove first that

$$\delta(G_0(T), G_0(T + A)) \leq \|A_0\|.$$

Fix  $(x, y) \in G_0(T)$  such that  $\|(x, y)\| \leq 1$ . Then

$$\inf_{\eta \in G_0(T+A)} \|(x, y) - \eta\| \leq \|(x, y) - (x, y + A_0x)\| = \|A_0x\| \leq \|A_0\|.$$

This implies that

$$\sup_{\substack{\xi \in G_0(T) \\ \|\xi\| \leq 1}} \inf_{\eta \in G_0(T+A)} \|\xi - \eta\| \leq \|A_0\|,$$

so,  $\delta(G_0(T), G_0(T + A)) \leq \|A_0\|$ . Note that

$$G_0(T) = \{(x, y - A_0x); (x, y) \in G_0(T + A)\}.$$

Similar arguments allow us to deduce that

$$\delta(G_0(T + A), G_0(T)) \leq \|A_0\|$$

and the conclusion follows.

#### 4. Applications to linear relations

**Remark 6.** Let  $X, Y$  be normed spaces and  $Z \subset X \times Y$  be a linear relation. In order to prove Theorem 2 we associate to  $Z$  a linear operator defined by

$$Q_Z : D(Z) \rightarrow Y/M(Z), \quad Q_Z(x) = y + M(Z),$$

whenever  $(x, y) \in Z$ . The map  $Q_Z$  is well defined. Indeed, if  $(x, y), (x, y_1) \in Z$ , then  $(0, y - y_1) \in Z$ , so  $y - y_1 \in M(Z)$ . Hence,  $y + M(Z) = y_1 + M(Z)$ . Clearly  $Q_Z$  is linear,  $R(Q_Z) = R(Z)/M(Z)$  and  $N(Q_Z) = N(Z)$ .

Note that for any linear relation  $Z \subset X \times Y$  with  $M(Z)$  closed, one has that

$$Z \text{ is open} \iff Q_Z \text{ is open.}$$

Indeed, if  $Z$  is open, then using [10, Remark II.3.6] it follows that  $Q_Z$  is open. Reciprocally, assume that  $Q_Z$  is open. Then, using Lemma 5(i) it follows that the linear operator

$$p_2 : G_0(Q_Z) \rightarrow Y, \quad p_2(x, y) = y$$

is open, which together with  $R(p_2) = R(Z)$  and  $G_0(Q_Z) = Z$  imply that  $Z$  is open.

**Remark 7.** (i) Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $M \subset N \subset X$  be linear subspaces such that  $M$  is closed in  $X$ . Then  $N$  is closed if and only if  $N/M$  is closed.

(ii) Let  $Z \subset X \times Y$  be a linear relation with  $M(Z)$  closed. Then

$$\text{codim}(\overline{R(Z)}) = \text{codim}(\overline{R(Q_Z)}).$$

Indeed, we have that  $R(Q_Z) = R(Z)/M(Z)$ . Using (i) we obtain that  $\overline{R(Q_Z)} \subset \overline{R(Z)}/M(Z)$ . Conversely, let  $y \in \overline{R(Q_Z)}$ . There exists  $(y_n)_n \subset R(Z)$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow y$ . Because  $\pi : Y \rightarrow Y/M(Z)$  is continuous, we obtain that  $y_n + M(Z) \rightarrow y + M(Z)$ . Since  $(y_n + M(Z))_n \subset R(Q_Z)$  it follows that  $y + M(Z) \in \overline{R(Q_Z)}$ . The assertion is now established.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Consider the  $q$ -morphisms  $Q_{Z_j} : D(Z_j) \rightarrow Y/M(Z_j)$  associated to the linear relations  $Z_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ). Note that  $G_0(Q_{Z_j}) = Z_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ). On the other hand, using Remarks 6 and 7 it follows that,

$$\dim(N(Q_{Z_j})) = \dim(N(Z_j)), \quad \text{codim}(\overline{R(Q_{Z_j})}) = \text{codim}(\overline{R(Z_j)})$$

and

$$Z_j \text{ is open} \iff Q_{Z_j} \text{ is open}$$

for  $j = 1, 2$ . Now the result follows from Corollary 1.  $\square$

**Remark 8.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, if we suppose in addition that  $R(Z_2)$  is closed, then using that  $R(Q_{Z_2}) = R(Z_2)/M(Z_2)$  and Remark 7(i) it follows that  $R(Q_{Z_2})$  is closed. Thus, using Remark 4 we deduce that  $\overline{\text{ind}}(Z_1) = \overline{\text{ind}}(Z_2)$ .

**Remark 9.** (i) Let  $Z \subset X \times Y$ . One can consider its *norm* given by

$$\|Z\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \inf_{(x, y) \in Z} \|y\|.$$

If  $\|Z\| < \infty$ , then the relation  $Z$  is said to be *continuous* (see also [10, Proposition II.3.2]).

(ii) If in the above theorem  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are closed linear relations such that

$$Z_2 = Z_1 + \tilde{Z}_1,$$

where  $\tilde{Z}_1 \subset X \times Y$  is a continuous linear relation such that  $D(Z_1) \subset D(\tilde{Z}_1)$  and  $M(\tilde{Z}_1) \subset M(Z_1)$ , then  $M(Z_1)$  is closed and  $M(Z_1) = M(Z_2)$ , hence  $\widehat{\delta}(M(Z_1), M(Z_2)) = 0$ . Moreover, we have that

$$\widehat{\delta}(Z_1, Z_2) \leq \|\tilde{Z}_1\|.$$

Indeed, let us fix  $(x, y) \in Z_1$  such that  $\|(x, y)\| \leq 1$ . Because  $x \in D(Z_1) \subset D(\tilde{Z}_1)$  it follows that there exists  $y_1 \in Y$  such that  $(x, y_1) \in \tilde{Z}_1$ . Then

$$\inf_{\eta \in Z_2} \|(x, y) - \eta\| \leq \|(x, y) - (x, y + y_1)\| = \|y_1\|.$$

As  $y_1$  was chosen arbitrary with the property that  $(x, y_1) \in \tilde{Z}_1$  and because  $\|x\| \leq 1$ , it follows that

$$\inf_{\eta \in Z_2} \|(x, y) - \eta\| \leq \inf_{(x, y_1) \in \tilde{Z}_1} \|y_1\| \leq \|\tilde{Z}_1\|.$$

Hence, we have that  $\delta(Z_1, Z_2) \leq \|\tilde{Z}_1\|$ . We show now that  $\delta(Z_2, Z_1) \leq \|\tilde{Z}_1\|$ . Fix  $(x, z) \in Z_2$  with  $\|(x, z)\| \leq 1$ . There exists  $y_1, \tilde{y}_1 \in Y$  such that  $z = y_1 + \tilde{y}_1$ ,  $(x, y_1) \in Z_1$  and  $(x, \tilde{y}_1) \in \tilde{Z}_1$ . Let  $y \in Y$  be such that  $(x, y) \in \tilde{Z}_1$ . It follows that  $\tilde{y}_1 - y \in M(\tilde{Z}_1) \subset M(Z_1)$ , so  $(x, z - y) \in Z_1$ . This implies that  $\inf_{\xi \in Z_1} \|(x, z) - \xi\| \leq \|y\|$  and  $\inf_{\xi \in Z_1} \|(x, z) - \xi\| \leq \inf_{(x, y) \in \tilde{Z}_1} \|y\|$ , hence, because  $\|x\| \leq 1$  it follows that  $\inf_{\xi \in Z_1} \|(x, z) - \xi\| \leq \|\tilde{Z}_1\|$ , and our claim holds.

## References

- [1] E. Albrecht, F.-H. Vasilescu, Semi-Fredholm complexes, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* 11 (1983) 15–39.
- [2] E. Albrecht, F.-H. Vasilescu, Stability of the index of a semi-Fredholm complex of Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 66 (1986) 141–172.
- [3] T. Alvarez, On almost semi-Fredholm linear relations in normed spaces, *Glasg. Math. J.* 47 (2005) 187–193.
- [4] T. Alvarez, R.W. Cross, D. Wilcox, Multivalued Fredholm type operators with abstract generalised inverses, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 261 (2001) 403–417.
- [5] T. Alvarez, D. Wilcox, Perturbation theory of multivalued Atkinson operators in normed spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 76 (2007) 195–204.
- [6] C.-G. Ambrozie, V. Muller, Duality in quotient Banach spaces, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 45 (2000) 555–563.
- [7] C.-G. Ambrozie, F.-H. Vasilescu, *Banach Space Complexes*, Math. Appl., vol. 334, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [8] R. Arens, Operational calculus of linear relations, *Pacific J. Math.* 11 (1961) 9–23.
- [9] E.A. Coddington, Multivalued operators and boundary value problems, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 183, Springer, Berlin, 1971, pp. 2–8.
- [10] R.W. Cross, *Multivalued Linear Operators*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [11] A. Favini, A. Yagi, Multivalued linear operators and degenerate evolution equations, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 163 (1993) 353–384.
- [12] D. Gheorghe, A Kato perturbation-type result for open linear relations in normed spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, in press.
- [13] R. Harte, *Invertibility and Singularity for Bounded Linear Operators*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [14] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1966.
- [15] F.-H. Vasilescu, Spectral theory in quotient Fréchet spaces. I, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 32 (1987) 561–579.
- [16] F.-H. Vasilescu, Spectral theory in quotient Fréchet spaces. II, *J. Operator Theory* 21 (1989) 145–202.
- [17] L. Waelbroeck, *Bornological Quotients*, Classe des sciences, Académie Royale de la Belgique, 2005.